



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1:**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">American Journal of Experimental Agriculture</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>MS: 2012/AJEA/2282</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>ASSESSMENT OF GENOTYPE X ENVIRONMENT INTERACTION AND STABILITY OF PROMISING SUGARCANE GENOTYPES FOR DIFFERENT AGRONOMIC CHARACTERS IN PESHAWAR VALLEY.</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:**

**(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)**

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



## SDI Review Form 1.6

### **PART 2:** Review Comments

	Editor's comment	Author's comment
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Keywords: "G xE interaction" has no sense for readers, delete it</b></p> <p><b>Table 1: Define "Reps" in the table legend. I do not know what "millable canes" and "number of tillers" are. They has not been defined before</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Line 17: abbreviations G and E must be defined here</p> <p>Line 20: delete "much"</p> <p>Line 21: put "highlighting" instead of "signifying"</p> <p>Line 31: delete "to"</p> <p>Lines 35-36: I do not understand the whole phrase</p> <p>Line 49: "breeders are in search of... (delete "hunting")</p> <p>Line 56: put "done" instead of "grown"</p> <p>Line 68: define "cv"</p> <p>Line 115: put "had" instead of "were having"</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>I am not sure if the authors have extend the discussion section in order to be more robust, according to the other referee (M. Swapna)</p>	

### **Editor Details:**

Name:	<b>Dr. Alejandro E. Ferrari</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Departamento Ciencia y Tecnologia, Universidad Nacional de Quilmes, Argentina</b>