



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_5481
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors affecting the adoption and intensity of use of improved forages in north east highlands of Ethiopia
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Author should double check the word purposively in line 110. The sentence in line 198 appears to be repetitive and should possibly be removed. Lines 291 and 309 (As already noted), author should avoid or phrase differently.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	N/A	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This paper has been well put together. I want to congratulate the authors for a job well done.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer