



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_7084
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impacts of Natural Disasters on Smallholder Agriculture in Rural Cameroon
Type of the Article	Original Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Manuscript plan is well organized and well structured. Results are interesting, reading and understanding the whole text are easy. My recommendation is accept after major revision for consideration for possible publication in American Journal of Experimental Agriculture. The major comments below: Kindly, provide the abstract with more scientific results (measurable value) instead of “The results reveal that the disaster <u>had a very serious impact on livestock and human</u>”. The article language is good enough. However, there are few mistakes as example : Page 4 Line 120: write “Moreover,” instead of “Not surprising therefore that” Page 5 Line 147: Delete “Not surprising	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	therefore that” and write “The” instead of “the”. Page 5Line 169: Delete “below” In tables 1, 2 and 3, write “before disaster” instead of “One day before the disaster (1986)” and change it in all tables Write “after disaster” instead of “One day after the disaster (1986)” and change it in all tables. Write “currently” instead of “At the time of the survey (2010)” Line 241: write “Scientific evidence revealed that the globe is witnessing overwhelming climate change.” Instead of “Scientific evidence that the globe is witnessing climate change is overwhelming.” There are a serious statistical problem in tables 1, 2 and 3. All Std. Deviation values are higher than the Mean values. This is totally wrong. It is impossible to have Std. Deviation value higher than the Mean value. Kindly, recheck data entering and data analysis again.	
--	---	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	After correction the data analysis, it will be better to convert tables 1, 2, and 3 to figures form (column chart) and fixed the Std. Deviation on the top of each column.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer