SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SCIENCEDOMAIN

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	**2013_AJEA_4788**
Title of the Manuscript:	**PRE-TUBER APPLICATION OF FLURIDONE: EFFECT ON VEGETATIVE GROWTH AND SEED TUBER DORMANCY IN YAM (D. alata)**
Type of the Article	**Research paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:
(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	This paper deals with the PRE-TUBER APPLICATION OF FLURIDONE: EFFECT ON VEGETATIVE GROWTH AND SEED TUBER DORMANCY IN YAM (D. alata). The overall quality of the manuscript is good, but presented data need to be reformulated/completed in order to strengthen the originality and quality of the scientific conclusions. .	
Optional/General comments	In general it is clearly-expressed and I have only a few comments Line 69 - 40% of the total cost of yam production "has a more recent reference" Line 132 – invitro or *invitro* Line 129-133 - might go in the discussion or introduction Line 159 – FAA "lack the description of the abbreviation and others also: ABA, FLU" Line -166 – microscope ''what is the mark'' Line 168 - 171 – "I think it should be in the discussion, not in the methodology"	

	Line 210 - 2.6 Experimental Design "should go to the start of the methodology" Line 242 – Table 1 "place the letters" Line 264 -277 – Table 2 and 3 "lack legend of the table"	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer