



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Education, Society & Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2012 BJESBS 2629
Title of the Manuscript:	Categorisation Of Agricultural Information Users' Among Young Arable Crop Farmers In Southwest Nigeria.

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	• Abstract should be reviewed; it is shallow and does not reflect the quality of work done <i>per se</i>. • The depth of literature search is low. Authors were not able to juxtapose their finding with previous related findings • Quality of analysis is low. Duncan multiple range test could not and did not bring out the conclusions authors were trying to make • The communication ability of authors is too poor and does not reflect the quality of scholarship of, and mastery of English by the authors • The write-up focus is shallow (categorisation of information users): What may make this work useful may be, if the authors are able to establish "cause-effect" relationship appropriately. • Why youths when the current issue is on ageing rural/farm population? • In general, I think this paper is part of a bigger work done by the authors and thus it should have more information on issues like, what are the types of information involved, what are the characteristics of the respondents (these just jumped into the DMRTS). Which category should be targeted for what information? Etc.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	• The title case should be well-written. • Be consistent on whether you are using British English or American English • Reference cited in-text should be reflected in the Reference section	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Authors should first learn how to write scholarly articles/manuscripts before “going to the market”	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer