



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2013_AJEA_3087
Title of the Manuscript:	Variation in water use efficiency and its components in wheat cultivars.

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	- Objective of the study should be clearly specified (in 'Introduction' section) - "Results" section is not well structured, and it should be concised - Review/citation in "Conclusion" section should be avoided. Conclusion should be more specific/straight-foreword	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	- There is scope to improve the "English" and "structure" throughout the manuscript	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	General comment on the mode of the article: - The article is not line numbered, hence difficult to comment on sp. Line/paragraph - "Word file" is not supplied, hence not possible to comment on the body of the text	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dr. M. H. Ali,
Department, University & Country	Agril. Engg. Division, Bangladesh Institute of Nuclear Agriculture, Bangladesh