



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2012 AJEA 2568
Title of the Manuscript:	Design and Performance Test of Cotton Stalks Puller

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1- There are a lot of grammar mistakes in the text so it needs to be edited. 2- This type of cotton stalk puller which is claimed isn't new and has been constructed before. For example Mostofi, S, and Minae (2008) have published a paper almost like this. So it is necessary to mention same previous works and describing the differences between this work and those at introduction part.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1- In 10th line of abstract it is written that "The suitable operating speed was found 2.8 KM/M ." Does "M" stand for minute? If yes it is not a reasonable value. And if it is a mistake in type, correct it. 2- In second line of "Field performance test" section "long-staple cotton" is correct not "long-stable cotton".	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1- “Feddans” isn’t a common unit and it is better to use SI units. 2- Using famous money currencies like USD or Euro instead of SDG helps international readers to convert the prices to desirable money currencies easier.	
---	---	--

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Siavash Azimi
Department, University & Country	Agricultural engineering department, University of Tehran, Iran