



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>American Journal of Experimental Agriculture</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_5222
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Skip-Row Cotton Planting Pattern and Plant Density on Cotton Growth, Yield, Fiber Quality, and Net Returns
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

[\(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline\)](http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. How many plots involved in your study? 2. Pg 8 line 13 - for March and July; however, rainfall amounts for April, May, and June were 1.4X, 1.7X and 2.5X 1.96X the total average rainfall for those three months respectively 3. Pg 9 lines 21, 22 & 23 – what do you mean by first position, second position ? 4. Pg 10 line 2 - ...respectively than the 7 plants m² ? 5. Pg 11 line 6 - ... solid planting produced more open bolls but no differences Line 12 -.... skip-row planting by 157kg 257 kg (Table 2) 6. Pg 12 B. Staple length – Explain the reasons for differences in the staple length of your own study	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Pg 2 Figures under Results – line 17, line 20 Wordings line 21 – Slight differences 2. Pg 3 line 22 – Langsford [8] 3. Pg 7 line 8 – As shown in Tables 5 and 6, the loan value for solid 4. Pg 10 line 22 -.... while in 2004 not much no differences in plant height 5. Pg 11 line 18 - ...basis with- Kittock reported that the most benefit from skip-row was obtained Line 21 -... the differences to more available soil Line 22 -..... higher yields than a an hectare 6. Pg 12 line 6 - No significant difference in micronaire values was were seen	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 14 -...had a slightly longer staple length 7. Pg 13 line 3 -....the solid planting pattern had a slightly greater elongation Line 13- ...for 102-cm skip-row plantings were 8. Pg 16-18 – remove brackets for Reference number 9. Figures in Tables 2, 3 & 4 need corrections for precise values	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Suggestion of topic : EFFECTS OF TWO PLANTING PATTERNS AND PLANT DENSITIES ON COTTON GROWTH, YIELD, FIBER QUALITY AND NET RETURN Pg 13 line 10- ... over skip-row planting was \$144.96/ha ~~almost 10 \$144/ha~~ (Table 5). Discussions of your own study especially at Section 3.3 Agronomic Responses need to elaborate more	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zuraini Zakaria
Department, University & Country	Biology Program, School of Distance Education, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Penang Malaysia