



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>American Journal of Experimental Agriculture</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_5222
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Skip-Row Cotton Planting Pattern and Plant Density on Cotton Growth, Yield, Fiber Quality, and Net Returns
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

[\(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline\)](http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1) Authors presented only 2 years of experiments with very different climatic conditions and dramatic differences in the rainfall (41% below normal in 2003, and 40% above normal in 2004). Adding experimental results from further years would be a big advantage, considering it's already year 2013 and most likely more data are available. 2) The experimental plots had only 8 rows each. Is it enough? Please, justify the number of rows chosen. 3) " These studies were in different fields each year but in the same general area". – Please, justify the choice of different fields in each year. Even being in the same general area, the fields could have been very different to affect the experimental results. 4) Two different factors tested (row pattern and plant density) are confusing in terms of the experiment setup – how many plots total were used in the experiment? 5) In 2003, the plot area was cultivated three times while in 2004, glyphosate at 0.6 L/ha was applied once and plots were cultivated three times. – It looks like there was difference in the plot treatment between years 2003 and 2004. Would this affect the	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<i>experimental results?</i> 6) “FiberMax 832B was planted in 2003, DPL 444 BG/1 RR was planted in 2004” – <i>different cotton cultivars were planted in 2003 and 2004. Even though they both are “medium-early and both have the same maturity”, they are NOT the same and could have had very different response in terms of yield, fiber quality and net returns.</i> 7) “2012 prices were used in the partial budgets with the following assumptions for each year [22]” – <i>I have hard time understanding how 2012 prices were used for the crop of 2003 and 2004. Better explanation for calculations/prices is needed.</i>	
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1) “The days [to cut-out] reduction (5%) seen in 2003 is important in getting the crop out of the field” – <i>this reduction was seen only in 2003, not in 2004. Is it enough to justify the statement?</i> 2) “Seeding rate had no effect on any variable evaluated” – <i>refer to the evidence from this experiment</i> 3) <i>Most of the literature cited is quite old and needs to be updated.</i> 4) Lint yield was 1504 kg/ha for the solid pattern while with the skip-row pattern lint yield 20 was 1247 kg/ha. In 2004, lint yield with the solid pattern was 26% greater than the skip-row pattern. – <i>What about percentage comparisons for 2003?</i>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer