SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	**2013_AJEA_5222**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Effect of Skip-Row Cotton Planting Pattern and Plant Density on Cotton Growth, Yield, Fiber Quality, and Net Returns**
Type of the Article	**Research paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:
(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Line 4-5 – Unclear, rephrase sentence** **Section 3.2 – Provide an explanation why there was no effect of seeding rate, rather than a Lit. Rev. Use lit rev. to support explanation. For example, seeding rate had no effect because plants compensate, producing increase yield per plant with fewer plants, while in higher planting density individual plant productivity decrease. Previous comment applies for section 3.3, and 3.4.**	
Minor REVISION comments	**Line 3 page 2 need an "and" following plant densities.** **Line 11 and 12 page 2replace "the" with "a"** **Line 15 page 4, line 14 page 11, and choose 3 or 4 of the major references.** **Line 8 and 9 page 18**	
Optional/General comments	This is an interesting article that aim to demonstrate that solid planting pattern of cotton is more economical than skip-row pattern. However, the manuscript was not well written, with some revision, particularly in regards to explanation of the results would significantly increase the quality of the manuscript.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer