



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>American Journal of Experimental Agriculture</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_4735
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of sugarcane price and revenue in Sokoto metropolis, Sokoto state, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

[\(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline\)](http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Recommendations should be removed from the	Recommendations have been removed from the abstract.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	References should be revisited. Some authors were cited but not referenced and some authors were referenced and not cited.	All authors cited have now been included.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	In the report and discussion, the author claimed to use coefficient of regression, but used the T- ration. The coefficient would have been better or the Beta so that the author will be able to predict with the results. Saying with a one naira change in cost ,there will be a Change in revenue etc. This will enhance the quality of the paper.	Wrong placement of asterics on t-values have been corrected.