

35 relationship to that of the seed yield across the accessions with the highest tuber yield recorded
36 in TSs93 and least in TSs86.

37 **Conclusion:** for increased seed/tuber yields of AYB in Nigeria, it has to be staked and planted
38 early in May of each season.

39 **Keywords:** *Sphenostylis stenocarpa*, staking, planting dates, yields

40 1. INTRODUCTION

41 Nigeria is one of the African countries that are endowed with varieties of grain leguminous plants
42 that are required for sustainable food security. Unfortunately, one major causes of food insecurity
43 experienced in many African countries and Nigeria in particular is the underutilization of some
44 potential food security crops in the continent (Saka *et al.*, 2004, 2007). Amongst the underutilized
45 crops with high food potential in Nigeria is African yam bean (*Sphenostylis stenocarpa*, Hochst.
46 Ex. A. Rich). African yam bean (AYB) is one of the most important grain and tuberous legumes of
47 tropical Africa. It is cultivated as a secondary crop with yam (*Dioscorea spp*) and other crops in
48 many parts of Africa mainly by subsistence farmers despite its nutritional values (Potter 1992,
49 Amoatey *et al.*, 2000 and Klu *et al.*, 2001). The seed and tuber are the two major organs of
50 immense economic importance as food for Africa with regional preferences (Potter 1992, Klu *et al.*
51 *et al.*, 2001, Adewale 2010, Adewale and Dumet 2011 and Ene-Odong 1992). While the seeds are
52 preferred in the West African countries, the tubers are preferred both in the east and central
53 Africa. AYB has huge potential for food security in Africa. According to Uguru and Madukaife,
54 (2001), AYB is well balanced in essential amino acid and has higher amino acid content than
55 *Cajanus cajan*, *Vigna unguiculata* and *Vigna subterranean*. The grain is a good source of
56 proteins, fibre and carbohydrate. It is rich in minerals such as phosphorus, iron and potassium.
57 However, the under-exploitation of the crop has subjected it to be classified as minor grain
58 legumes (Saka *et al.*, 2004).

59
60 Of all the factors militating against increased AYB production in Nigeria is its low seed yield, when
61 compared with other legumes (cowpea) and tuber crops (sweet potatoes) under monocrop
62 conditions (Saka *et al.*, 2007). Several factors have been reported to be responsible for the low
63 seed yield recorded in the field. Of all the factors that challenges increased AYB production, the
64 most important one is the cultural practices involved in its production.
65 AYB is a vigorously climbing herbaceous vine whose height can reach 1.5–3 m or more
66 with many branches which twines on any available stake (Adewale, and Dumet, 2011). Hence
67 the believe that AYB requires a stake in its production and no wonder the practice of
68 intercropping it with other crops that either requires stake too, like yam or with a crop that may

69 provide a stake like cassava or millet (Klu *et al.*, 2001, Adeniyani *et al.*, 2007). According to
70 Adeniyani *et al.*, (2007) AYB requires staking under monocropping for improved seed yield. To
71 provide stakes for a better growth and yield requires extra effort and cost.

72

73 Although several references have been made to the use of the AYB tubers as a source of starch
74 and proteins in West Africa (Ene-Obong 1992; Porter 1992, Adewale and Dumet 2011),
75 unfortunately many AYB farmers do not even know that it produces tubers talk less of harness
76 the potential in supplementing their protein requirements. Others on the other hand attach no
77 importance to the bean tubers as their yields were considered very poor compare to that of yam
78 (Klu *et al.*, 2001).

79

80 Furthermore the appropriate time of planting for enhanced yields has not been ascertained. The
81 knowledge of these factors is necessary in guiding rural farmers that may want to engage in its
82 production. Hence the objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of staking and date of
83 planting on the seed/tuber yields of AYB in Nigeria.

84

85 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

86 Field experiments were conducted at the experimental farm of the Faculty of Agriculture and
87 Natural Resources Management, Ebonyi State University Abakaliki during 2009 and 2010 farming
88 seasons under rain fed conditions. Abakaliki lies within 7° 30'E, 5° 45'N with a mean annual
89 rainfall of 2000 mm. The fields were laid out in split-plots in randomized complete block design.
90 Three different planting dates (May 4th, June 2nd and July 1st) for 2009/10 were used as the main-
91 plot treatments, while staking and non-staking formed the sub-plots. Seven promising accessions
92 of AYB (TSs 9, TSs 48, TSs84, TSs86, TSs93, TSs94 and TSs166) collected from International
93 Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA) genetic bank were randomly planted in the sub-plots. The
94 AYB accessions seeds were planted in rows in each plot with an accession occupying a row. The
95 seeds were sown at 2 seeds per hole at a planting spacing of 1 m x 0.7 m inter and intra spacing.
96 Each treatment was replicated three times. Thinning was done after three weeks of planting to
97 one seedling per stand. Five plants were randomly selected from each accession/treatment and
98 tagged for data collection. Forty kilograms per hectare of compound fertilizer 15: 15: 15 NPK
99 was added to all the plots at three weeks after germination to boost growth. Staking was done
100 three weeks after germination using strong stake each measuring about 3 m high. Each seedling
101 was staked independent of another to avoid mixing the accessional yields, while the non-staked
102 plants were allowed to trail on the ground and were guided to avoid mixing with other accessions.
103 The experimental plots were weeded at three weeks intervals.

104 At harvest, data were collected on the total seed yield of individual plants based on the
105 treatments and assessed per accession from the tagged plants. Estimate of seed yield per unit
106 area was done when the seeds were dry using the tagged plants. The pods were threshed and
107 winnowed. The results were extrapolated to kilogram per hectare for each accession and
108 treatment. Equally the estimate of tuber yield per unit area was done when the plants were
109 mature and leaves dried using the tagged plants. The tubers were harvested using a hoe,
110 weighed and the results were extrapolated to tons per hectare for each accession and treatment.
111 Daily meteorological data were collected from the Ebonyi State University meteorological station
112 (Table 1).

113

114 **2.1. Statistical analyses**

115 Collected data were subjected to analyses of variance through computer software (SAS, 2003).
116 The mean separation was carried out by Fisher's protected LSD test. Pearson correlation
117 coefficient (r) was used to determine the relationship between yields, planting dates and staking.

118

119 **3. RESULTS**

120 The results indicated that staking and date of planting significantly affected the seed/tuber yields
121 of African yam bean (Table 2). Highest seed yields were observed when AYB accessions were
122 staked compared to the accessions that were planted non-staked, which gave the lowest seed
123 yields across the accessions and years (Fig.1). Amongst the accessions assessed, TSs86 when
124 staked gave the highest seed yields during 2009 and differed significantly ($P < 0.015$) from the
125 rest of the accessions except TSs48. However during 2010 farming season the reverse was the
126 case with TSs48 having the highest seed yield that differed significantly from the rests of the
127 accessions except TSs86 and TSs9 accessions. On the contrary, TSs84 gave the poorest seed
128 yield both when staked and non-staked.

129

130 Similarly, the highest seed yields were recorded when AYB were planted earlier in May of each
131 season, which differed significantly ($P < 0.028$) from those planted later in the season (Table 2). In
132 other words, there were decreases in seed yields with delay in the planting dates with the least
133 seed yield recorded on AYB planted on July of each season. It was observed that AYB planted
134 later than May had more infestation of post-flowering insect pests attack resulting in more
135 destruction of pods and reduced seed yield.

136

137 In the two planting seasons, the highest seed yields were recorded during the 2009 farming
138 season than in 2010 across the accessions and planting dates (Fig.1).

139

140 The results of the tuber yields indicated that the fresh tuber yield per plant varied across the
141 accessions and planting dates throughout the experimental periods. In overall, TSs86 gave the
142 least fresh tuber yield across the experimental periods and differed significantly from others, while
143 TSs84 gave the highest tuber yield indicating an inverse relationship between tuber yield and
144 seed yield (Table 3 and Figure 2). Similarly AYB accessions planted earlier gave higher tuber
145 yield across all the accessions and differed significantly ($P < 0.001$) from those planted later with
146 the highest tuber yield recorded in accessions planted in May of each season while the least was
147 gotten from those planted in July of each season.

148
149 There were significance **mean** positive correlations between dates of planting, seed yield and
150 tuber yields ($r = 0.67$ and 0.45 , $P < 0.001$) respectively; staking and seed yield ($r = 0.56$, $P < 0.001$);
151 staking and tuber yield ($r = 0.51$, $P < 0.001$) for 2009 and 2010 respectively. On the other hands
152 there was significant negative correlation between seed yield and tuber yield ($r = 0.69$,
153 $P < 0.0001$).

154
155

156 4. DISCUSSION

157 The highest seed yield observed when AYB were staked under this study could be due to the
158 importance of staking on the yields of AYB. The yield increase might probably be due to the
159 advantageous effects of staking which provided support for the numerous branches of the crop.
160 Similar results have been reported by Adeniyani *et al.* (2007). According to them when AYB was
161 intercropped with maize/kenaf, the maize/kenaf served as life stakes for the AYB which in turn
162 gave higher seed yield compared to sole AYB that was not staked. It has been reported that AYB
163 is a vigorous, herbaceous, climbing leguminous plant whose height could be up to 1.5-2 m.
164 Hence, as a climbing crop, it needs a stake for proper vegetative growth. The vegetative growing
165 stage is characterized with the profuse production of trifoliolate leaves which is required for an
166 enhanced seed yield (Milne-Redhead and Polhill 1971). The variation on yield recorded
167 across the planting dates may be also attributed to frequent number of rain days and
168 amount of rain with moderate temperature that were observed at the period of the
169 flowering stage which also may influence other biotic activities that may influence seed
170 yield in AYB (Table 1).

171
172

173 Yield reductions observed on all the accession under non-staking condition has been reported by
174 earlier researchers (Adeniyani *et al.*, 2007). According to them, when AYB is not staked it lacks
175 the support given by the stake material which assist it in repositioning the leaves for adequate

176 sunlight it require for proper growth. It has equally been reported that staking plays a significant
177 role in tuber formation of most climbing plants like yam (Otoo 1980; Law-Ogbomo and Remison
178 2008).

179

180 Similarly, the significant increase in seed yield recorded early in the season may be attributed to
181 reduced pests infestation on the crop. AYB has been reported to be attacked by several flower
182 and post flower pest that results in low yield of the crop (Ameh and Okezie, 2005). However it has
183 been reported that such pests do not infest the crop early in the season (Ogah *et al.*, 2012).
184 According to them such serious pest of AYB like *M. vitrata* and thrips were observed to have low
185 infestation of the early planting crops. This is also in agreement with (Akingbohunge, 1982,
186 Asante *et al.*, 2001) who reported that cowpea planted in June or July in Southern Nigeria usually
187 escape severe *M. vitrata* infestation while those planted late in August coincide with the peak
188 population densities of the major post-flower pests resulting in considerable reduction of grain
189 yield. Conversely the low grain yield observed later in the season may also be attributed to
190 increased pest build up in the field thereby destroying the crop (Ogah *et al.*, 2012). Similar results
191 have been reported in cowpea in Uganda by (Karungi *et al.*, 2000). The agronomic practices of
192 planting crops at different dates are used in different parts of the tropics especially in Africa
193 (Okigbo and Greenland, 1976). This is evident in the present result that showed significant
194 differences on both the seed and tuber yield of AYB recorded across the three planting dates.
195 Improved crop cultivars and alteration in planting dates of crops have been reported as an
196 effective strategy in reducing pest damage and increasing crop yields by a number of researchers
197 (Prasa and Singh, 1997).

198

199 It has been reported that seed formation/yield in some legumes affect the development of tubers.
200 This is in line with the inverse tuber yield recorded in the present study. Accession that gave
201 higher seed yields were the accessions that gave the least tuber yield and this explains the
202 significant negative correlation observed under the study. The higher seed/tuber yields recorded
203 in some accessions compared to others may also be due to differential genetic make of the
204 different accessions.


205

206

5. CONCLUSION

207 The results presented showed that AYB has high potential in contributing to food security in
208 Nigeria. The high yield gain advantage recorded when AYB was staked over the non-staked
209 cropping calls for its cultural practices improvement. Furthermore, the tuber yield potential of the
210 crop indicated that if improved upon could stand to replace most of the tuber crops grown in
211 Nigeria whose yield have been dwindling following the current low soil fertility observed virtually in

212 all the ecologies in Nigeria. Thus, for improved growth and total yields of AYB, staking and early
213 plant are paramount cultural practices to be observed.
214
215
216



217 Table 1: Monthly meteorological data of the experimental site for 2009 and 2010.

Month	2009			2010		
	Rain (mm)	fall Temp (°C)	Humidity	Rain (mm)	fall Temp (°C)	Humidity
January	92.00	30.5	55.44	Nil	27.8	55.8
February	Nil	31.4	8.39	Nil	32.6	29.8
March	202	32.2	83.45	176	32.5	58.5
April	127.1	31.5	81.15	134	30.0	58.9
May	361.70	30.2	70.37	282.5	27.3	56.2
June	216.13	28.8	86.04	394.8	28.6	64.5
July	381.21	27.8	83.5	159.8	28.3	84.3
August	475.1	28.3	94.17	397.3	28.7	91.7
September	386.30	28.6	93.36	432.1	28.5	93.2
October	438.2	29.2	93.93	420.7	30.1	92.5
November	91.3	30.5	91.9	78.3	30.2	89.2
December	Nil	30.1	66.76	Nil	30.3	54.6
Total	2771	359.1	979.4 908.5	2472.5	354.9	829.2
Mean	203.9	29.9	81.6 75.7?	206	29.6	69.1

Comment [E1]: there is a lack of unit

Comment [E2]: what the Nil means??

218

219

220 **Table 2: Effect of planting dates on seed yield (kg ha⁻¹) of AYB during 2009/2010.**

Planting dates 2009					
S/N	Varieties	May	June	July	Mean
1	TSs9	566.8	466.0	383.8	472.2
2	TSs48	604.7	526.9	421.2	517.6
3	TSs84	434.2	328.8	286.2	349.7
4	TSs86	640.5	529.1	470.1	546.6
5	TSs93	521.2	450.7	321.3	431.1
6	TSs94	553.9	500.7	352.5	469.0
7	TSs166	534.7	403.0	362.7	433.5
	Mean	550.9	457.9	371.1	


LSD (P<0.05) for comparing two accessions of AYB means = 49.1

LSD (P<0.05) for comparing two planting dates means = 91.2

Planting dates 2010					
S/N	Varieties	May	June	July	Mean
1	TSs9	520.2	471.3	352.1	447.9
2	TSs48	600.1	501.3	393.1	498.2
3	TSs84	428.3	412.6	213.9	351.6
4	TSs86	530.7	471.7	353.9	452.1
5	TSs93	500.1	375.5	352.8	409.5
6	TSs94	457.2	320.9	299.1	359.1
7	TSs166	400.7	353.1	300.7	351.5
	Mean	491.0	415.2	323.7	

LSD (P<0.05) for comparing two accessions of AYB means = 55.6

LSD (P<0.05) for comparing two planting dates means = 69.3



223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231

Fig. 1: Effect of staking on seed yield (kg ha⁻¹) of African yam bean AYB during 2009/2010.

Comment [E3]: Title of Y axis should be corrected

232 | **Table 3: Effect of planting dates on fresh tuber yield ((Mg ha⁻¹)) of AYB during 2009/2010.**

2009					
S/N	Accessions	May	June	July	Mean
1	TSs 9	1.52a	1.42a	1.31b	1.42
2	TSs 48	1.44a	1.22b	1.01c	1.22
3	TSs84	2.13a	2.03a	1.73a	2.05 1.96?
4	TSs86	1.32a	1.31a	0.71b	1.11
5	TSs93	1.93a	1.82a	1.52b	1.76
6	TSs94	1.73a	1.42b	1.22c	1.46
7	TSs166	1.93a	1.63b	1.32c	1.63
Mean		1.71	1.55	1.26	

233 | LSD (P<0.05) for comparing two planting dates Means = 0.13

234 | LSD (P<0.05) for comparing two AYB accessions means = 0.15

2010					
1	TSs 9	1.22a	1.21a	1.02b	1.15
2	TSs 48	1.42a	1.12b	1.11b	1.22
3	TSs84	1.93a	1.62b	1.42b	1.66
4	TSs86	1.42a	0.61b	0.41b	0.81
5	TSs93	1.73a	1.62a	1.63a	1.66
6	TSs94	1.24a	1.32a	1.22a	1.26
7	TSs166	1.52a	1.52a	1.41b	1.48
Mean		1.50	1.29	1.17	

235 | LSD (P<0.05) for comparing two planting dates Means = 0.11

236 | LSD (P<0.05) for comparing two AYB accessions means = 0.17

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244


245

246

247

248

249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257



258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265

Fig. 2: Effect of staking on fresh tuber yield ((Mg ha⁻¹)) of African yam bean (AYB) during 2009/2010.

266 **REFERENCES**

- 267 Adeniyon ON, Akande SR, Baogun MO and Saka JO. Evaluation of Crop Yield of African Yam
268 Bean, Maize and Kenaf Under Intercropping Systems. American-Eurasian J. Agric. & Environ.
269 Sci. (2007: 2 (1): 99-102.
270
271 Adewale D. African yam bean: a food security crop? IITA Research [r4dreview.org/.../exploiting-](http://r4dreview.org/.../exploiting-the-diversity-of-african-yam-bean)
272 [the-diversity-of-african-yam-bean](http://r4dreview.org/.../exploiting-the-diversity-of-african-yam-bean), 2010 retrieved On 11th November.
273
274 Adewale DB and Dumet DJ. Descriptor for african yam bean *Sphenostylis stenocarpa* (Hochst Ex.
275 A. Rich) Harms *IITA Research newsletter*. (2011): 1 -12.
276
277 Akingbohunbe AE. Seasonal variation in cowpea cropping performance at Ile Ife, Nigeria and
278 the relationship to insect damage. *Insect Science and its application*. 1982: 3:287 – 296.
279
280 Ameh GI and Okezie CEA. Pests and diseases of AYB. *Bio Research*. 2005: Vol. 3 (1) 14-20.
281
282 Amoatey HM, Klu GYP, Bansa D, Kumaga FK, Aboagye LM, BenettLartey SO and Gamedoagbo
283 DK. The African yam bean (*Sphenostylis stenocarpa*) a neglected crop in Ghana. *West African*
284 *Journal of Applied Ecology*. 2000: Vol. 1. 53 – 60.
285
286 Asante SK, Tamo M and Jackai LEN. Integrated management of cowpea insect pests using elite
287 cultivars, date of planting and minimum insecticide application. *African Crop Science Journal*.
288 2001: Vol. 9 (4); 655 – 665.
289
290 Ene-Odong EE and Okoye FI. Inter-relationships between yield and yield components in the
291 African yam bean, *Sphenostylis stenocarpa* (Hochst ex. A. Rich.) Harms. *Beitr. Trop. Landwirt*.
292 1992: 30(3):283-290.
293
294 Karungi JE, Adipala MW, Ogenga-Latigo S and Kyamanywa NO. Pest management in cowpea.
295 Part 1. Influence of planting time and plant density on 18 cowpea field pests infestation in eastern
296 Uganda. *Crop Protection* . 2000:19:231- 236.
297
298 Klu GYP, Amoatey HM, Bansa D and Kumaga FK. Cultivation and uses of African yam bean
299 (*Sphenostylis stenocarpa*) in the Volta Region of Ghana. *The Journal of Food Technology in*
300 *Africa*. 2001: 6:74–77.
301
302 Law-Ogbomo KE and Remison SU. Growth and yield of white guinea yam (*Dioscorea rotundata*
303 Poir.) influenced by NPK fertilization on a forest site in Nigeria. *Journal of Tropical Agriculture*.
304 2008: 46 (1-2): 21–24,
305
306 Milne-Redhead E and Polhill DC. Pages 670 – 674 in *Flora of Tropical East Africa*. Crown
307 *Agents for Oversea Government and Administrations*. 1971: Millbank, London.
308
309 Ogah EO and Ogah FE. Evaluating the effect of host plant resistance and planting dates
310 on the incidence of legume pod borer (*maruca vitrata*, geyer) on African yam bean in
311 Nigeria. *African Crop Science Journal*. 2012: Vol. 20, (3): 163 – 170.
312
313 Okigbo BN and Greenland DJ. Intercropping systems in tropical Africa. In: Papendick, R.I., A.
314 Sanchez and G.B. Triplett (Eds). *Multiple Cropping*. ASA Special Publication. No. 27. Madison
315 WI, USA. 1976: pp: 63-101
316
317 Otoo JA, Effects of staking, fertilizer and spacing on seed yam yields. *Ghana Jnl agric. Sci*. 2008:
318 1'3,101-105.
319
320

321 Porter D. Economic botany of *Sphenostylis* (Leguminosae). Economic Botany. 1992: 46: 262-
322 275.
323
324 Prabhakar M, Singh Y, Singh VS and Singh SP. Economic injury levels of *Helicoverpa armigera* in
325 chickpea as influenced by irrigation. Indian Journal of Entomology. 1998: 60(2), 109-115.
326
327 Prasa CS and Singh VP. Impact of variety, sowing date and control measures on incidence of
328 pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hub.) and yield of chickpea. Annals of plant Protection
329 Sciences. 1997: 5: 26 – 28.
330
331 Saka JO, Adeniyani ON, Akande SR and Balogun MO. An Economic Evaluation of Intercropping
332 African Yam Bean, Kenaf and Maize in the Rain Forest Zone of Nigeria. Middle-East Journal of
333 Scientific Research. 2007: 2 (1): 01-08.
334
335 Saka JO, Ajibade S, RAdeniyani ON, Olowoyo RBJ and Ogunbodede BA. Survey of underutilized
336 grain legume production systems in the Southwest agricultural zone of Nigeria. J. Agric. & Food
337 Info., 6: 93-108.
338
339 SAS. The SAS Institute Inc. Cary. 2003: No. 27513, USA.
340
341 Uguru MI and Madukaife SO. Studies on the variability in agronomic and nutritive characteristics
342 of African yam bean (*Sphenostylis stenocarpa* Hochst ex. A. Rich. Harms). Plant Production and
343 Research Journal. 2001: 6:10 – 19.
344
345
346