



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_10224
Title of the Manuscript:	Changing agro-climate: Tropical Tubers and Roots as a challenge for Sustainable Livelihood in India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	-It is better, as the article should be corrected. -Used in the assessment of the old resources. -This article is not an appropriate structure. -Understanding and comprehension of the article, is down. -In order to further the discussion of resources better used. -The references provided are not sufficient and better use of new and old references.	I agreed with reviewer whatever commented and corrected as per the comments, tried to do good by revising it and correct it by highlighting in yellow colour.
Minor REVISION comments	-In the assessment, the use of new articles. -A new threads and can be a good article, with further work. -The author is a good attempt, but need to try more.	As per the comment, I thoroughly go through it and tried to do the best.
Optional/General comments	ethical issues are fully. plagiarism issue: it exists, but that they could be more effort	As per plagiarism issue, I have cited in references.