



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_10345
Title of the Manuscript:	Phosphorus Fertilizer Sources and Application Time Affect Grain Yield and Nutrient Composition of Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L., Walp)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Fertilizing plants with phosphorus is increasing root growth. Good root development is enhanced uptake of other minerals. This paper presents results of studies carried out to evaluate the performances of some water-soluble phosphate fertilizer sources. - In the introduction, how to phosphorus deficiency caused by soil characteristics should be mentioned. - If given the climate should be written - The properties of TSP and SSP fertilizer forms part of the discussion of article were found to be positive influence how the data should be written on.	Already included Not necessary Already in the discussion
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		