



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_10379
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Growth Analysis and Acclimatization of Tissue Culture Derived Cocoyam [Xanthosoma sagittifolium (L) Schott] plantlets
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Please include key words in your abstract. Author should take note; there are no key words at the end of abstract. Pg 3. 14, state the full meaning of the abbreviation FL, abbreviations should be written in full at first use. Pg 3. 20-21, what did you use in disinfecting your explants. Please, state and report the ratio used. Pg 5. 5-8, Please state the approach you adopted in ascertaining the numbers of stomata. There need for author to write conclusion separately.	Key words and abbreviations are included and highlighted in the first page. Pg 3. 14, done and highlighted. Pg 3. 20-21, added and highlighted. Pg 5. 5-8, added and highlighted. Separate conclusion was done.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	There is need for author to effect these minor corrections for purpose of clarity.	All corrections were done as required.