



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_10502
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance, haematology and serum biochemical indices of broiler chickens fed toasted sesame seed (<i>Sesamum indicum</i> , Linn) meal based-diets
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	- Row 8 and 58: There were 300 chicks instead of 180 in the experiment? - Row 106-108: The FCR was higher in T2 compared to T1 (control), so T2 was worse compared to T1. Therefore, this statement is erroneous. - Conclusion: Row 285: 25% instead of 22%. Take away the word "performance"	Lines 8 and 58 did not reflect any 300 birds as remarked by the reviewer. Both lines indicated 180 birds i.e. (12x3)5 'FCR issue in line 108'. This is a serious mistake, It is hereby noted and already corrected. Thanks to the reviewer No, the 22% level of inclusion was the average value deduced from regression analyses judging from relationships between variable in Figures 1 and 2. Kindly look at line 109-110. In our opinion too, we strongly feel that the word 'performance' should also not be taken away from the text as recommended by the reviewer here. This is suitably justified with the observations in Figure 1 and even 2
Minor REVISION comments	- Insert the abbreviated form of alanine amino transferase, alkaline phosphatase, high density lipoprotein and aspartate amino tranferase in the Abstract - Row 49: "creatinine" (lower case) - The authors should mention the Table 1 in the text of	Noted and reflected as directed Noted, done DM now written as Dry Matter as suggested; Composition of premix now in Table 1; other



SDI Review Form 1.6

	"Materials and Methods" - What is "DM" in the title of Table 1? It is suggested that this letters must be in a unabbreviated way. - The composition of Premix must be on Table 1. - "Full fat soybean meal" (lower case) - Row 106: take away the double full stop. - Table 2: Insert space between Final weight and "(g)" and Weight gain and "(g)" - Comment the Table 4 before it.	corrections in this section were duly corrected and reflected appropriately in the final text
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article is well reasoned and presents interesting data on the use of alternative dietary proteins. After careful review of corrections, it can be published.	