



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_10611
Title of the Manuscript:	Non-parametric Estimation of the Production Efficiency of Poultry Egg farming in Ogun State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Line 48 to 58: you are trying to indicate gap or statement of the problem but it is no clearly indicate the real Gap please make clarify your gap. A some sort of definition is jotted which is wrong way to indicate the gap Line 59 to 60: the objective of the study is not clear Line 62: Output-Oriented DEA is a title which you put after research aim but the problem is that such way of research writing is highly affect research paper coherency. So make delete or take in to material and method part.	This has been considered The objective of the study has been recast Line 62 has been attended to.
Minor REVISION comments	From line 19 to 31: for such information it is needed to indicate references (citation where you goat the information) Line 32: please clarify the phrase the productive resources used in poultry production Line 37: the literature indicated is too old (1957) what about the recent information for economic efficiency. Of the most indicate the recent one Line 105 to 106: This area was considered most appropriate regarding to this issue your sampling technique I think purposive. If so the result can represent the other poultry producers?? Line 124: it's known to use primary data. So it is	The productive resources there refer to inputs used in the production process. Efficiency studies relate to Farell's work which is dated to 1957. Works by Coelli were also referenced. Comments for Line 105 – 106 is well noted. The statement was only meant to justify the preference for the area. Line 124: Primary data was used for the study. Line 208: Egg farmers was used to specify the category or type of poultry farmers sampled for the study. Line 327: The conclusion part was more of highpoints of the findings for the enterprise in the study area. The result on efficiency scores



SDI Review Form 1.6

	not needed Line 208: it's better to say poultry farmers rather than egg farmers Line 327: Conclusion part is not matched with your first aim and need to be checked again Line 334: is that government discouraging the issue. What is your ground to recommend in such form?	(non-parametric) are reported to show the efficiency of the farms. It is not a comparative study for which would have compared it with the estimates of the parametric measure. The government is not discouraging it, rather the government should increase their commitment and awareness of the farmers to cooperative formation.
Optional/General comments	The other thing is very good and well noted	