



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_10720
Title of the Manuscript:	Qualitative characteristics and Suture Measurements of Two Breeds of Snail Rearing in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Scientific names must be written in italics Reduce abstract length (delete less informative information) "Results" chapter is confusing Measurement error of callipers would be desirable For correlation and regression, a previous normality test (such Shapiro-Wilk) must be done. If data is not normally distributed, then a Spearman's r is needed Tables must be interpreted as a whole. So, authors might explain all acronyms for each table English must be improved	Thanks, all relevant corrections have been done. It should be noted that the sample size has taken care of the errors of the callipers. The spss package is also adequate for the correlation and regression.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Very interesting article. A short comment about other morphometric methods, such as geometric morphometrics, would be desirable. Figure is not of very high quality	Feature researches may include geometric-morphometrics. The figure has been enlarged to give it a better quality. Thanks