



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_10726
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact of theFadama II Intervention on Rural Households inKogi and Kwara States, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	. Abstract should be summarized. . The format need to be modified, e.g Kwarastates—Kwara state, and Dayinkogi. AfDB..... . Correct acronym of Africa Development Bank Should be checked. . Specific sampling technique should be stated, e.g after stratified sampling, how the respondents are selected? . Previous research should be compared. . The result included in the abstract should tally with the findings in the chapter on result.	Corrected Corrected Corrected Addressed Done Corrected
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Objective should be specifically stated. Problems and significance of the research should be stated. More literatures should be reviewed.	Done
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper will be suitable for publication, if modified.	