SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_AJEA_10726**
Title of the Manuscript:	**The Impact of theFadama II Intervention on Rural Households inKogi and Kwara States, Nigeria**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	- **Abstract is too long and need to be summarised and condensed** - **Detailed methodological explanation is required- specially how the researcher treated the spill over effect of the intervention on the control group as both groups are from the same target area.** - **How the matching exercise was made is not clear- hence clear & step by step explanation on how the researcher arrived at the selected matching algorism & subsequently on the result must be provided.** - **Citation is required for every quotations in the body of the article**	Addressed Effected Done Noted
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Serious rechecking is required throughout the paper as citation is missing in some cases. Example methodology and discussion part.	Addressed