



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_10921
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF THE USE OF SOIL IMPROVEMENT MANAGEMENT PRACTICES AMONG ARABLE FARMERS IN EGBEDORE LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OSUN STATE, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Good paper, valuable information. Only minor review comments as below.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 10: Article confusion? I would start with "A study was conducted to assess". Line 70: How does Specific Objective 1 differ from Specific Objective 2? They sound the same to me. Lines 156-164: In a conclusion we expect to find information as to whether the overall and specific objectives were fulfilled. Not discussing numbers again – they should be confined to the discussion.	There is nothing confusing here. This aspect is introducing the focus of the study. Objective 1 identifies types of soil improvement practices used by respondents while objective 2 determined soil improvement practices preferred by the respondents. This has been corrected.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I think the author has enough data to compare the environmental impacts (if any) of the preferred practices and eventually come up with the best bets for emphasis in the recommended training sessions.	This is an area for further research. Thank you.