SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_AJEA_11076**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Effects of Sodium Azide (NaN_3) on Seeds germination, Plantlets Growth and *In vitro* Antimalarial Activities of *Phyllanthus odontadenius* Müll Arg.**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	-	
Minor REVISION comments	The paper very precisely discussed the results. The paper is accepted with little minor correction. The format should be same. In line number 111 instead of reference use the number. In line number 216 spelling of Table is wrong. In line 259 the Table 5 should be labelled.	Thank you very much. Manuscript was corrected. See in the text.
Optional/General comments	It is better that Microsoft word because it is easy to apply track changes.	