



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_11077
Title of the Manuscript:	How does transplant increase sweet maize (<i>Zea mays</i> L. <i>saccharata</i>) yield?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Why was yield presented on a fresh weight basis? 2. Why is it that all figures and tables are followed by ANOVA table? Kindly construct ONE table to account for the variances in all the variables	1. Sweet corn yield must be presented on a fresh weight basis because the fresh ear is the marketable product. 2. Our format (one ANOVA table for each figure and table) is better because interactions can be discussed in each result sections. If we construct only one table, data and interactions would be spatially separated.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript is well written and data well presented It can be published subject to addressing these and other reviewers' comments	