



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_11132
Title of the Manuscript:	FACTORS AFFECTING THE INVOLVEMENT OF WOMEN IN INCOME GENERATING ACTIVITIES IN SABON-GARI LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF KADUNA STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	What problem is the study trying to address? Which the previous studies on the subject matter are as indicated in your introduction and what gaps if any is the current study trying to fill? No objectives for the study. Methodology need to be re-written. What inform the selection of the 3 wards and sample size? Section 2.2 is at variant with its content. E.g model specification cannot be classified as sample selection.	The study intends to find out quantitatively the contributions of women in economic development through income generating activities. Literature indicates that their work is undervalued. The study aims at determining the factors affecting women involvement in income generating activities in the study area. This is stated in the manuscript and it is the objective of the study. The corrections in section 2.2 were corrected.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The variable 'Migration' lacks clarity. It's better to report the marginal values of your regression. No recommendations made in the main paper and I therefore find it curious for you to have that in your abstract.	Migration could also affect women involvement in income generating activities. Though it was not significant but I think it should be stated. Recommendations were effected though it was not part of the guideline.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	No relevant literature was reviewed. The contribution of this study to knowledge is marginal. Check the words marked red.	Literature review was not part of the guideline as there is no section for it.