



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_11171
Title of the Manuscript:	COLLOIDAL STABILITY AND POTENTIAL STRUCTURAL DEFORMATION INDEX OF FOUR NIGERIAN SOILS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This is one of the most interesting papers that I have come across, detailed comments are mentioned in the manuscript and these need to be looked into. One of the major comments is with regards to methodology – No procedural method has been described as to how the analysis of OC, total Nitrogen and Available Phosphors was done.	The analytical procedural method for Organic Carbon (OC), Total Nitrogen (TN) and available phosphorous is now included in the materials and method.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Minor Comments have been described in the text and these can be taken care of .	The minor comments in the text have been taken care of.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This is a very interesting paper and further work can be done on this topic.	