SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_AJEA_11274**
Title of the Manuscript:	**EFFECTS OF INDOL-3-BURTYRIC ACID (IBA) CONCENTRATIONS AND TYPE OF CUTTING ON ROOTING OF TEA (Camelia sinensis L.)**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	This manuscript studied the cutting type and the effect of IBA on rooting of Turkish tea. The results showed that full leaf cutting and 6000 ppm IBA treatment were the most appropriates for rooting of the tea. The authors completed the test well.	Revised (according to reviewer's suggestions) manuscript .
Minor REVISION comments	In the material and method section: 1. How long did it with IBA treatment? How to deal with IBA? By soaking? or by spraying? Please write more clearly. 2. In the whole test process, several times treated by IBA ? Just one time? In the results section: In the table 1, legend seems to be the opposite. One of the temperature and humidity, it is better to the dotted line.	
Optional/General comments		