



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_11412
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth response of quails (<i>Coturnix coturnix japonica</i>) to varying levels of cassava (<i>Manihot esculenta</i>) tuber meal as a replacement for maize (<i>Zea mays</i>)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Only performance data, with low number and only two replicates for each treatment not enough for a scientific article.	The low number was actually because we could not get greater number in any other farm around. Quail farming is relatively low and uncommon around here. Consequently, we had to travel to a different geopolitical zone before we could get upto 100. Unfortunately many died on transit. The 32 quails used were the clinically healthy ones.
Minor REVISION comments	There are some writing mistakes	Mistakes have been corrected
Optional/General comments		