



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_12107
Title of the Manuscript:	Socioeconomic Factors and Soil Fertility Management Practices Affecting Sorghum Production in Western Kenya: A Case study of Busia County
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Attend all corrections suggested in the manuscript. 2. It is not necessary to use absolute figure and percentage together always to describe the tabular results (suggested in appropriate places). Check and correct. 3. Correct references as per journal style/standard.	1. All corrections have been attended to in the manuscript. 2. Percentages have been used and absolute figures deleted. The same have been checked and corrected in appropriate places. 3. References as per journal style/standard have been corrected.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Make the manuscript more concise.	Done, superfluous words have been omitted
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	--	We are indeed grateful for your comments