



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_12306
Title of the Manuscript:	Diversity Studies in 29 Accessions of Okra (<i>Abelmoschus</i> spp L.) using 13 Quantitative Traits.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	• Better include molecular characterization to beef up discussion • PCA/cluster analysis/correlation studies are effective statistical tools in elucidating variability, but you cannot totally discount environmental effects/epistatic effects • molecular or isoenzyme studies suggested	This work is restricted to phenotypic characterisation using 13 yield-determining traits of okra. We never discarded possible contribution of environmental factors to total observed variability.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	• The paper is well written and the author has good writing skills • enrichment of content is needed	Content is already rich and doesn't need any enrichment as this may result in altering scope and objectives of the study.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This study generated too small volume of data to warrant publication as a full-length article	This comment is completely contradicting and therefore cannot be considered.