



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_12510
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutritional Requirement and Management of <i>Arabica</i> Coffee (<i>Coffea arabica</i> L.) in Ethiopia: National and Global Perspectives.
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The article highlights an important issue for current and one of the major cultures of the world. However, I think the article is a local approach or (slightly) National (Ethiopia) and failure to amplify this matter (Nutritional Requirement) globally. This does not take away the merit of the work that must be titled: Nutritional Requirement and Management of Arabica coffee (<i>Coffea arabica</i> L.) in Ethiopia. Some practices have already been studied and applied in other countries.	I agree, but, kindly, it's better to see the part (body of the paper) on coffee nutrition: Global perspectives.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Minor comments are inserted in the PDF file.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		