



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_12825
Title of the Manuscript:	LEAF EPIDERMAL ANATOMY IN FIVE VARIETIES OF <i>CAPSICUM ANNUUM</i> L. SOLANACEAE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1) In line 7 and 8 (These varieties in most cases are not easily recognized especially using their dry samples), but in the whole investigation you used the fresh materials. 2) Try to correct the spellings as mostly the author mixed the American and British English like colour for color. 3) It may be better to present all the figures in just two plates, one comparing the stomatal types for abaxial surface and another one for the adaxial one. 4) Mostly the author's wrote the results in the present tense, in which most of the statements need to be in past tense. So need to revise the results and discussion part.	To justify the difficulty in the recognition of the varieties Ok Ok Ok, all tenses corrected
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1). In line 6 (Leaf epidermal feature were studied), make it clear what type of features you studied. Like you studied the leaf epidermal micro-morphological or anatomical features, so need to be revised. 2). The author need to be consistent as in most cases he wrote Capsicum annum and C. annum, so just use one type. 3). Revise the statements in lines 41-48 as the English in these lines is very simple. 4). Statement in line 55-57 needs to be revised too. 5). In line 59 whats the meaning of living fresh materials. 6). The author did the sampling in the research farm, but did photography in the field, its very confusing. 7). In line 65 what does it mean, Epidermal peels of the leaf surfaces as the epidermis makes the surface. Clear the	Ok. Clarity made Ok Ok Ok Corrected to fresh materials No It means free-hand sections were made



SDI Review Form 1.6

	statement. And further you said epidermal peels of the leaf surfaces of the varieties were made, give sense to it. 8). In line 86: computer software was used was SPSS. Give it sense.	Ok Ok
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript needs a thorough revision concern to English and grammar.	All grammatical errors corrected