



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_13044
Title of the Manuscript:	Crude protein level effects on the sperm quality of Nile tilapia (<i>Oreochromis niloticus</i>) broodstock
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	NO	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	NO	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	What is the Line used in the studies? Chitralada strain! There are substantial genetic differences among strains of Tilapia; the authors believe that such differences may affect the results of the work? R: There are substantial genetic differences among strains of Tilapia; we believe that such differences may affect the results of the work! In a later study suggest that if you use more than one lineage	First, we thank you for the valuable suggestions and considerations in improving this article. All the suggestions were accepted by the authors.