



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_13398
Title of the Manuscript:	Exogenous cytokinin promote <i>Epipremun aureum</i> L. growth through enhanced dry weight assimilation rather than through changes in partitioning
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1- Line 10-19: In abstract all abbreviated terms can be writing clearly in the first mentioned sites. If not in abstract, in the main text can be mention. (strickly)	1. Suggestion has been made
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	2- Line 10 (Benzylaminopurine) and line 103 (6- Benzylaminopurine) write same writing 3- Line 117: Separate plant part were dried..... (How much plant? Quantity) 4- Line 191: ... 0.42 in BAP treated plants (Table 1). But table 1 is about climate as seen. (Control please.) 5- Line 542: There is a tab, do normal. 6- It can be thought over again the title...	1. All suggestions have been included
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	First of all, I would like to thank to the author/authors for adding value in science.	Thank you very much for the reviewer