



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_13488
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic Efficiency Analysis of Pineapple Production in Edo State, Nigeria: A Stochastic Frontier Production Approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Tables 1 and 2	We have indicated field survey data and included the year of statistical analysis. The statistical software employed was clearly spelt out as Computer Programme Frontier 4.1c (Coelli, 1994) in sections 3.4 and 3.5 in the manuscript.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Background information, study area and discussion of result	Noted. All corrections effected where necessary
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper is well structured The references was enough and relevant to the subject The paper is its current form are valid for publication only after effecting the corrections ethical issues-: Logical and follows modern research trend	Noted Noted. All corrections effected where necessary Noted