



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>American Journal of Experimental Agriculture</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_4679
Title of the Manuscript:	Bio economy Adoption Process of Agro-biotechnology Among Researchers in Nigerian Universities/Research Institutes: The Logistics Regression Approach
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:
(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	- Table 1 is quite confusing as is the surrounding text. - The figures in brackets in the last column are not explained and need to be. - The second paragraph of the 'Results and Discussion' section, lines 204-210, does not correlate to anything in the table, or if it is, it is poorly explained and I missed it. Connect this paragraph better with the table. - Table 1 has no mention of what the values of the numbers are. Is it years? People? Age? The author needs to be more specific as to what the unit of measurement is for the various aspects of the table - Throughout the paper, there are numerous opinions of the author that need to be removed. For example, on p.5, line207, "The new academic staff are more eager and enthusiastic." Unless you have a reference for statements such as this, they need to be removed from the paper. - There needs to be standardization of terminologies. Bioeconomy is one word. The literature does not use the word agro-biotechnology. Agbiotech is acceptable.	Figure 25 in Table 1 indicates the number of University lecturers used and do others. Since the total number of respondents is 100, there is no need for percentages (deleted). This section presents description analysis of the socio-economics variables used in the study area. e.g. 45, indicates the mean of means, while the modal age distribution of participants were between 35 and 45 years. This section presents important socio-econs. Variables that influence innovations and reviewed accordingly. Age is measured in years and teaching experience also captured in years. Reviewed accordingly (corrections done in the main text). Reviewed accordingly (corrections done in the main text).
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	- There are numerous grammatical errors on	The paper has been edited by proof reader



SDI Review Form 1.6

	every page of the paper. Random capitalization of words, incorrect use of apostrophe, incorrect suffixes and mis-spelled words. - The paper needs to be edited by someone whose first language is English to remove these.	accordingly.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		