



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>American Journal of Experimental Agriculture</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_4679
Title of the Manuscript:	Bio economy Adoption Process of Agro-biotechnology Among Researchers in Nigerian Universities/Research Institutes: The Logistics Regression Approach
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:
(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper needs thorough and careful reading by the author to link the suggested areas with his idea and effect corrections to improve and strengthen the grammatical structure of the manuscript. All texts highlighted in RED were recommended for removal. All texts highlighted in BLUE were recommended for inclusion. Phrases highlighted in PINK and <i>put in parenthesis</i> required that the phrase or sentence in which the remark is placed immediately after should be explained as indicated to the understanding of other readers.	Reviewed accordingly (corrections done in the main text).
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	As contained under <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION above	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The following references should be checked for their spelling in the text and the reference section. 1. Hussain et al., 2003; Flavel, 1999; leisinger, 1999; Magaji 2007 or 2009?; Adeogun et al., 2008; Hilder and Hamilton, 1994. The methodology used is ok for the nature of the study.	Reviewed accordingly (corrections done in the main text).