



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_7031
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlation and path coefficients Analysis between Morphological Characteristics and Conservable grain Yield under conditions of different planting method of sweet corn and super sweet (Zea Mays L. var. Saccharata) varieties
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1) The title of the article could read: "Correlation and Path coefficient Analysis of Morphological traits and Conservable grain Yield of sweet corn and super sweet (Zea Mays L. var. Saccharata) varieties under different planting method". 2) The paper is scientifically vigorous and of relevance, but lacks expression clarity. 3) The article should be re-written, taken into consideration the highlighted areas.	1- The title of the article Similarly, Became corrected. 2- According to the referee notices, articles became reviewed. 3- According to the emphasis on referee over rewritten considering colored parts the paper was rewritten
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1) There are grammatical inaccuracies that must be critically considered. 2) The first paragraph of the abstract should be reframed and possibly read as: A study (is it field, screen house, etc,?) was conducted, carried out, etc, (location, year, season and period of study), to evaluate, investigate, determine, etc , ...	1- To the extent possible, the paper was trying to fix grammar errors. Also first part of the article was revised According to the referee comments.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1) Though the research is of relevance to current crop improvement strategies, its reference literature should be most current.	
---	--	--