



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_7082
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of the Foam of Sugarcane (<i>Sacharum officinarum</i> L.) and Inorganic Fertilizer on the Chemical Characteristics of a Tropical Cambisol
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript has enough scientific content to be publishable. However, the authors must make a great effort in improving several aspects of the manuscript quality. See detailed comments throughout the manuscript.	- Space is left between unit and numbers according to the ASI paper Template of the journal. - Table 5 and 9 are deleted but tables 6 and 10 (actual Tables 4 and 7) are modified to be more readable: We have summarized by showing only the significant data. In fact, these tables are important because of the comparison between the rates of foam and NPK (T-test) concerning changes in soil. This aspect is not highlighted previously in the other tables (ANOVA). - In the discussion section, we have suggested 18 t/ha of instead of 12 t/ha in order to compensate the loss of N as induced by leaching (L233-L235) and the gap of N supplying due to mineralization process (Chabalier et al., 2006).
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		