SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	**2013_AJEA_8082**
Title of the Manuscript:	**AQUACULTURAL PRODUCTION AND MANAGEMENT IN NIGERIA**
Type of the Article	**Review Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Acceptable after moderate revisions	Okay.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The manuscript "**AQUACULTURAL PRODUCTION AND MANAGEMENT IN NIGERIA**" reviewed the present status of aquaculture growth and production as well as the hindrance of its development, and finally showed some first-rate management practices that can boost up aquaculture production, livelihood improvement and employment opportunities in Nigeria. Generally, the paper is well written, but need to rewrite the suggested portions and conclusion. There are many ways that the overall presentations and writings could be improved. The authors should carefully follow the instruction of the journal during revision including references.	Okay.