



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_8596
Title of the Manuscript:	Identified Flow Problems in Adding Value to Cassava Tuber
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Paper has studied flowability of mashed cassava relating this to ease of machine processing. Authors need to go through the manuscript and correct tenses within the manuscript.	THANK YOU. CORRECTIONS MADE.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		