SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_AJEA_8631**
Title of the Manuscript:	***EFFECTS OF GAMMA IRRADIATION ON SEEDS GERMINATION, PLANTLETS GROWTH AND* ANTIMALARIAL *ACTIVITIES OF PHYLLANTHUS ODONTADENIUS* Müll Arg.**
Type of the Article	**Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The manuscript need to be revised considering the English language. Some phrases are really difficult to understand. For example, lines 56-58, 87-88, 94-96, and several others.** Ok! **Lines 104-111 looks like controversy, please, revise.** Ok!	
Minor REVISION comments	**a. The identification of figure 1a and 1b is the same, is it correct?** No **Please, revise the legend of figure 1 and 2, and all others;** Ok! **b. In figure 3, please, include in the graphics medium ±standard deviation;** Ok! **c. Table 6 need to be revised, for example 1,12 for 1.12;** Ok!	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is little tiring, especially during the presentation of results. I suggest the reduction of information in this section, since the tables, figures and graphics can tell by themselves. The discussion needs to focus in what is more important. Ok!	