



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_8719
Title of the Manuscript:	Nitrogen Olive Fertilization under Rainfed Mediterranean Conditions
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The manuscript can be published after some small corrections and consider comments below a) Experimental duration (2 years) is very short for evaluation of effect of nitrogen fertilization on olive trees. b) Soil conditions and age of trees in the experiment is not equal so it is difficult for determination to effect of nitrogen fertilizer on yield and other quality parameters. c) Obtained maximum oil content from Site 3 can be explain high nitrates content in upper layer of soil (47.2 mg/kg).	I agree with you, these are preliminary results which will be confirmed later. But, we can consider that different sites mean different climatic years or different repetitions.