



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_9000
Title of the Manuscript:	Lead Selection Traits Relationship in Cowpea (<i>Vigna unguiculata</i> (L.) Walp.): Is Grain Yield Still Key?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

Comments are found in sticky notes throughout the text. This study involves a 1-year study only. Yield as well as many other variables used in the study could be affected by the environment. Another year of data or planting in a different season would have been desirable. Authors need to clarify various points in the materials and methods section, please see sticky notes.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	See sticky notes.	Thank you or your comments and kind suggestions. The issues raised have been duly corrected and amended as recommended. Kindly see the corrected version. However, the presentation of our experimental soil analysis data was not of critical importance, so we decided to concentrate on the main findings of the study.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		