



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_9557
Title of the Manuscript:	INFORMATION NEEDS OF KENAF FARMERS IN OGBOMOSO ZONE OF OYO STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Authors have to explain what the PPMC abbreviation mean – first mentioned it in Abstract section /line 12/, but also in Methodology – line 143 and etc. It is a Pearson's Product Moment Correlation coefficient I suppose, but the question is other readers what they will think about it. Another abbreviation FGDs: it is explain in line 150 but first we meet it in Abstract /line 10/, follow in section Methodology/line 140/. It should be defined at first use.	Done
	In the Abstract have to be added the time when this study was carries out. It was marked in Methodology - 2013.	Done
	Authors have to unify measures units in SI system – AJEA is an international issues and it will help people of all around the world to understand more clearly this paper. For example: line 15 – acres; line 34 – metric tons; line 39 – tonna; line 40 – hectare; line 54 – pounds of nitrogen / acre; line 128 - square kilometres and etc.	Done
	Latin names of plants have to be in italic – line 29 <i>Hibiscus cannabinus</i> .	Done
	References have to be change according AJEA recommendations.	Done



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Some tables are huge /table 1, 3 and 5/ and have to be considered.	They are good as they are
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It will be better to mentioned in "Methodology" what program product they used to do statistical operations /ANOVA, SPSS or another/. Part "Introduction" in my opinion is too large /almost 2 pages/ and I suggest if it is possible to be considered and reduced.	Done I honestly do not want to reduce the introduction, except absolutely necessary