



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_9783
Title of the Manuscript:	Apples (<i>Malus domestica</i>, Bork.) Phenology in Ethiopian Highlands: Plant growth, Blooming, Fruit Development and Fruit Quality Perspectives
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper is very well written with a logical sequence of subjects discussed. The topic is important because it brings information to the knowledge of science, growers and technical workers. The paper should be accepted for publication.	I agree
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The paper is very long, if possible the author might summarize some topics.	It's possible to summarize some topics, but the paper may lack coherency and some important informations will be missed
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	For ethical issue: Yes, because all the informations are supported by citations.	I agree