



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_9959
Title of the Manuscript:	Innovative Agricultural Technology Dissemination: Finding a New Use for Motor Tricycles
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper presents motor tricycle studies at Ghana. Overall, some methods are used but nothing novel is proposed. There are a lot English types. The research may be useful in developing and typical Ghana but I find very difficult to apply the results on other developing countries. More sampling has to be done.	This paper does not propose a novel method of analysis but rather a novel and cost effective method of disseminating agricultural technologies in rural communities Typographical errors have been corrected Simple random sampling technique was adopted in order to allow for the generalisation of results. Since the research was designed as a cross-sectional (and not panel) study and due to resource constraints, it will be difficult to conduct fresh interviews. Thank you
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		