



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_10400
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF DIFFERENT RATES OF PHOSPHORUS FERTILIZER ON SOYBEAN {GLYCINE MAX (L.) MERRIL} INOCULATED WITH RHIZOBIUM

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
I still recommend a native English speaker to read the article. For instance: “soil that has never be grown to legume before or has not be grown to legume many years” does not seem a correct English sentence. There has been a big improvement, however I do not understand why different graphic styles have been used (2d – 3D – values above bars – no values,...). Again, I suggest placing all similar graphs together in 1 figure. You say adding 45 kg P/ha. Will this not have environmental effects? Eutrophication? This amount is suggested as the better value, however it is simply the highest? Will higher values give even larger effects? I still recommend saying why those P additions were chosen. You state ‘ previous studies’. Do you have more details? In the data analysis, please state what you want to test with the ANOVA.	A native English speaker has read through the work and made some few corrections in the main text. The sentence cited has been corrected in the text. The same graph style has been used now in the text. I think the separate presentation of the graphs enhances easy identification, understanding and makes it easy for one to follow the work. Lines in the histogram represents SE and I think the addition of values may just be mere duplication of trying to identify if there were significant differences or not. Environmental effects of fertilization were not the focus of this study. From the study area, higher P values above 45 kg P/ha may not be economical based on previous studies we conducted with 30 kg P/ha as the highest value which gave the highest yield. ANOVA was used to test significant differences between treatments.