



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_12825
Title of the Manuscript:	LEAF EPIDERMAL ANATOMY IN FIVE VARIETIES OF <i>CAPSICUM ANNUUM</i> L. SOLANACEAE

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Majority of my comments have been addressed. However, two of my previous comments are not satisfactorily addressed. Nothing has been done for my comment "Table 2 Epidermal Cell Density should separate Range and Mean. In addition, the first set of data doesn't make sense 18(26.40)25." I still feel putting range and mean in two different columns will make it easier to read. So how come a range from 18 to 25 has a mean of 26.40? The authors' response (The other picture is missing) to my comment "Also three varieties have trichomes, why did the author only show two? It looks like the trichomes look different in shape and size, but there is no such description or discussion in the manuscript." Is not acceptable. Missing is not an excuse to not show the important data in publication. If these pictures are missing, they should repeat the experiments to get new pictures for publication.	Ok Agreed with the reviewer and amended as recommended The experiment repeated and pictures inserted and described prperly