



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_4679
Title of the Manuscript:	Bio economy Adoption Process of Agro-biotechnology Among Researchers in Nigerian Universities/Research Institutes: The Logistics Regression Approach

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
1) There are a few language errors, but generally it is understandable. To improve the paper It is necessary to verify the writing (uppercases, lowcase, punctuation, spacing –e.g. 20 years instead of 20years, an academic instead of a academic-). 2) I understood words in red are going to be deleted. Is this correct? 3) I previously suggested completing the definition related to poverty. Specify what is a desired result? 3) Consult the magazine reference style. 4) References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text. 4) Write the reference related to the CDB. Complete references (e.g. line 400). 5) I suggest that the explanation about purposive sampling hast to be write in the manuscript, not just as an author response. I suggested to say more than just “due to the nature...”. 6) It will be useful to mention the questions of the questionnaire. I suggest introducing a table related to this issue. 7) “The questions and their substance were building up based on the literature review and the local and social situation to ensure validity”: What literature was reviewed? Write the references. 8) Explain in the manuscript what it was written in the author response space related to the replication of the study of Farid <i>et al</i>. It is not stated clearly this relation in the manuscript. Some parts in the result section are the same that Farid’s. 9)What expression is going to be used 10 percent or 10%? Standardize. Same comment for amount of fund or financial status.	Corrections done accordingly. Listing of reference done accordingly. Complete reference This is because; researchers that were involved in biotechnology and adoption extension process were identified. In Nigeria, very few Scientists in Nigerian higher institutions are found out to be involved in biotechnology research. Literatures reviewed have shown the importance of biotechnology in agricultural outputs and growth (), thus there is need to identified the value of these researchers in biotechnology research and their adoption processes and how relevance their outputs in the agricultural development of Nigeria. Corrections done accordingly. This work was adopted for Nigerian case, in addition adoption process was done and the constrains of effective adoption was incorporated in this study. Literatures reviewed have shown the importance of biotechnology in agricultural outputs and growth, thus there is need to identified the value of these researchers in biotechnology research and their adoption processes and how relevance their outputs in the agricultural development of Nigeria. Corrections done accordingly.