

Editorial Comments:

Considering all the comments received from the reviewers, I feel the manuscript needs major revision before being considered for publication.

Reviewer 1:

Most words red colour in most paragraphs should be deleted

The sentences or words that have been blue colour should be added.

the words which have under line should be corrected

Reviewer 2:

Some typographical errors were noticed, in almost all section of the work (two words joined together by lack of space as seen in lines 7). The result and discussion section are not written in a well-constructed and concise manner.

The manuscript appeared to be suffering from verbosity (repetition of definition).

Reviewer 3:

There are some minor technical and grammar mistakes. I suggest that the author correct the text.

It is suggested beside term #Production function# to include in the Keywords the word ?econometric analysis? ? the central methodological approach is based on econometric analysis. Production function could be assessed also by other methodological approaches too, i.e. simulation, optimization, etc?.

Equation 6: Fish output (Fa)?is the same as Y? are there necessary two definition for the same depended parameter?

Author's Feedback:

With reference to the Editor's comment as noted in your email, authors wish to hereby confirm that all suggestions given by the three Reviewers were carefully and appropriately followed to revise the manuscript. All corrections by the authors were marked in yellow, and the Reviewer's forms completed accordingly. Please, refer to the completed Reviewers' forms, to confirm all corrections made. Authors are satisfied with the revised copy which was done in accordance with the Reviewers' comments and journal template, and will want your final decision to be made based on the revised copy.